

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,641	12/02/2005	Tatsuya Miyoshi	05825/HG	7279
1933 FRISHAUF, H	7590 10/09/2007 OLTZ, GOODMAN & CH	EXAMINER		
220 Fifth Avenue			. LAVILLA, MICHAEL E	
16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,641	MIYOSHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael La Villa	1775	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	A DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	,		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of t	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-8,19 and 21 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,9-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and complete to the	re withdrawn from considerat	on.	
·	:		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the coru 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2 ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3 ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a least open application.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Si	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20051202,20051227.		ormal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

:Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, Claims 1-4, 9-18, and 20, in the reply filed on 11 September 2007 is acknowledged. Claim 21 incorrectly had been grouped with Group I in the Restriction Requirement, and so it is omitted from the claims under consideration.
- 2. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 3. Claims 5-8, 19, and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11 September 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 5. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 9-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Regarding Claims 1 and 18, it is unclear what determines what constitutes "active hydrogen." It is also unclear what compounds constitute the full breadth of derivatives of hydrazine having active hydrogen.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641 Page 3

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- 9. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known:or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 10. Claims 1-4, 9-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Miyoshi et al. JP 2004-162097. Miyoshi et al. teaches zinc plated steel sheet having two-layered coating layer, wherein the first layer is comprised of the claimed reaction product, silane, and phosphoric acid ingredients in the claimed relative amounts and the second layer is comprised of the claimed epoxy resin. Miyoshi et al. teaches claimed rust inhibitors and cross-linking agents. Miyoshi et al. also teaches formation of the claimed articles by using the claimed methods, namely treatment temperatures. See Miyoshi et al. (Abstract; Claims; paragraphs 1-120; and Tables).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641

Art Unit: 1775

Page 4

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.:
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 13. Claims 1-4, 9-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyoshi et al. JP 2004-162097. Miyoshi et al. teaches zinc plated steel sheet having two-layered coating layer, wherein the first layer is comprised of the claimed reaction product, silane, and phosphoric acid ingredients in the claimed relative amounts and the second layer is comprised of the claimed epoxy resin. Miyoshi et al. teaches claimed rust inhibitors and crosslinking agents. Miyoshi et al. also teaches formation of the claimed articles by using the claimed methods, namely treatment temperatures. See Miyoshi et al. (Abstract; Claims; paragraphs 1-120; and Tables). To the extent that Miyoshi et al. cannot be said to exemplify the claimed articles, Miyoshi et al. suggests all of the claimed ingredients and claim limitations as being effective. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the exemplified laminates and methods of Miyoshi et al., according to the suggestions of Miyoshi et al., which would lead to the claimed articles and methods, as Miyoshi et al. suggests that articles made in the claimed manner and by the claimed methods are effective.

CONCLUSION

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/559,641

Art Unit: 1775

(571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Page 5

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael La Villa 28 September 2007

WICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER